arXiv:1406.5397v1 [math.GR] 20 Jun 2014

A COHOMOLOGICAL PROPERTY OF SEMI-ABELIAN p-GROUPS

MOHAMMED T. BENMOUSSA AND YASSINE GUERBOUSSA*

Abstract. We prove a cohomological property for a class of finite p-groups introduced earlier by M. Y. Xu, which we call semi-abelian p-groups. This result implies that a semi-abelian p-group has non-inner automorphisms of order p, which settles a longstanding problem for this class. We answer also, independetly, an old question of M. Y. Xu about the power structure of semi-abelian p-groups.

Dedicated to Professor Ming-Yao Xu for his early work on finite p-groups.

1. Introduction

Let G be a finite p-group. Following M. Y. Xu (see [14]), we say that G is *strongly semi- p-abelian*, if the following property holds in G :

$$(xy^{-1})^{p^n} = 1 \Leftrightarrow x^{p^n} = y^{p^n} \text{ for any postive integer } n.$$

For brevity, we shall use the term *semi-abelian* for such a group. It is easy to see that such a group satisfies the properties :

(i) $\Omega_n(G) = \Omega_{\{n\}}(G)$.
(ii) $|G : G^{\{p^n\}}| = |\Omega_n(G)|$, and so $|G : G^{p^n}| \leq |\Omega_n(G)|$.

Hence, semi-abelian p-groups share some nice properties with the regular p-groups introduced by P. Hall (see [3] for their theory). It is not difficult to show that every regular p-group is semi-abelian; however the class of semi-abelian p-groups is much larger, and in fact every finite p-group can occur as a quotient of a semi-abelian p-group (see Section 3).

Let G be a regular p-group, and $1<N \lhd G$ such that G/N is not cyclic. P. Schmid showed in [12], that the Tate cohomology groups $\hat{H}^n(G/N, \mathrm{Z}(N))$ are all non-trivial; where $\mathrm{Z}(N)$ is considered as a G/N-module with the action induced by conjugation in G. Our first purpose is to show that Schmid's result holds in a more general context.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a semi-abelian p-group, and $1<N \lhd G$ such that G/N is neither cyclic nor a generalized quaternion group. Then $\hat{H}^n(G/N, \mathrm{Z}(N)) \neq 0$, for all integers n.*

Let us note that P. Schmid has conjuctured that Theorem 1.1 holds for an arbitrary finite p-group G if one takes $N = \Phi(G)$. This conjecture has been refuted by A. Abdollahi in [1]. Although, it is interesting to find other classes of p-groups

Keywords: cohomology; finite p-groups; automorphisms.
*Corresponding author.

which satisfy the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 (see [2, Question 1.2]).

The above theorem is intimately related to studying non-inner automorphisms of finite p-groups. An abelian normal subgroup A of a group G can be seen as a G-module via conjugation, whence we can consider the group of crossed homomorphisms or derivations $\mathrm{Der}(G, A)$. To each derivation $\delta \in \mathrm{Der}(G, A)$, we can associate an endomorphism ϕ_δ of G, given by $\phi_\delta(x) = x\delta(x)$, $x \in G$. This map ϕ sends $\mathrm{Der}(G, A)$ into

$$\mathrm{End}_A(G) = \{\theta \in \mathrm{End}(G) \,|\, x^{-1}\theta(x) \in A, \text{ for all } x \in G\}$$

and in fact it defines a bijection between the two sets $\mathrm{Der}(G, A)$ and $\mathrm{End}_A(G)$.

If we consider only the set of derivations $\delta : G \to A$, that are trivial on $\mathrm{C}_G(A)$ (i.e. $\delta(x) = 1$, for $x \in \mathrm{C}_G(A)$), which can be identified to $\mathrm{Der}(G/\mathrm{C}_G(A), A)$; then the map ϕ induces an isomorphism between $\mathrm{Der}(G/\mathrm{C}_G(A), A)$ and the group $\widetilde{\mathrm{C}}(A)$ of the automorphisms of G acting trivially on $\mathrm{C}_G(A)$ and G/A.

It is straightforward to see that this isomorphism maps $\mathrm{Ider}(G/\mathrm{C}_G(A), A)$ into a group of inner automorphisms lying in $\widetilde{\mathrm{C}}(A)$, though an inner automorphism lying in $\widetilde{\mathrm{C}}(A)$ needs not necessarily be induced by an inner derivation; however this case can be avoided by assuming that $\mathrm{C}_G(\mathrm{C}_G(A)) = A$, as if $\phi_\delta(x) = x^g$ for some $g \in G$ and all $x \in G$, then $g \in \mathrm{C}_G(\mathrm{C}_G(A))$, so g lies in A and δ is the inner derivation induced by g^{-1}. We have established

Proposition 1.2. *Let G be a group, and A be an abelian normal subgroup of G such that $\mathrm{C}_G(\mathrm{C}_G(A)) = A$; let $\widetilde{\mathrm{C}}(A)$ denote the group of the automorphisms of G acting trivially on $\mathrm{C}_G(A)$ and G/A. Then there is an isomorphism from $\mathrm{Der}(G/\mathrm{C}_G(A), A)$ to $\widetilde{\mathrm{C}}(A)$, which maps $\mathrm{Ider}(G/\mathrm{C}_G(A), A)$ exactly to the inner automorphisms lying in $\widetilde{\mathrm{C}}(A)$. In particular if $\widetilde{\mathrm{C}}(A) \leq \mathrm{Inn}(G)$, then $\hat{H}^1(G/\mathrm{C}_G(A), A) = 0$.*

This well known fact in the litterature, which can be found for instance in [8], permits to reduce the problem of existence of non inner automorphisms of some group to a cohomological problem. For instance, this allowed W. Gaschütz to prove that any non simple finite p-group has non inner automorphisms of p-power order.

It is conjectured by Y. Berkovich that a more refined version of Gaschütz's result holds, more precisely that a non simple finite p-group has non inner automorphisms of order p (see [11, Problem 4.13]). While it is not clear that a positive answer to it, has deep implications for our understanding of finite p-groups, this problem received a large interest, and its hardness may stimulates further developments of new techniques in finite p-group theory. The reader may find more information and the relevant references about this problem in [2].

Our second result settles this problem in the class of semi-abelian p-groups.

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a semi-abelian finite p-group. Then G has a non inner automorphism of order p.*

Our notation is standard in the litterature. Let S be a group. For a positive integer n, we denote by $S^{\{p^n\}}$ the set of the p^n-th powers of all the elements of S and by S^{p^n} the subgroup generated by $S^{\{p^n\}}$. The subgroup generated by the elements of order dividing p^n is denoted by $\Omega_n(S)$. We denote by $\lambda_n^p(S)$ the terms of the lower p-series of S which are defined inductively by :

$$\lambda_1^p(S) = S, \text{ and } \lambda_{n+1}^p(S) = [\lambda_n^p(S), S]\lambda_n^p(S)^p.$$

If A is an S-module, then A_S denotes the subgroup of fixed elements in A under the action of S.

The remainder of the paper is divided into two sections. In Section 2, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3; and in Section 3 we answer an old question of M. Y. Xu (see [14, Problem 3]) about the power structure of semi-abelian p-groups. This result follows quickly from a result of D. Bubboloni and G. Corsi Tani (see [4]), but it seems not that this link has been noted before.

2. Proofs

Let Q be a finite p-group, and A be a Q-module of p-power order. Recall that A is said to be cohomologically trivial if $\hat{H}^k(S, A) = 0$ for all $S \leq Q$ and all integers k.

It is proved by W. Gaschütz and K. Ushida (independently) that $\hat{H}^1(Q, A) = 0$ implies that $\hat{H}^k(S, A) = 0$ for all $S \leq Q$ and all integers $k \geq 1$ (see [8, Lemma 2, §7.5]). This statement can be slightly improved as noted in [9].

Proposition 2.1. *Let Q be a finite p-group, and A be a Q-module which is also a finite p-group. If $\hat{H}^n(Q, A) = 0$ for some integer n, then A is cohomologically trivial.*

We shall use Proposition 2.1 to reduce the proof of Theorem 1.1 to the non-vanishing of the Tate cohomology groups in dimension 0, which is easier to handle.

We need also the following result of Schmid (see [12, Proposition 1]).

Proposition 2.2. *Let Q be a finite p-group, and $A \neq 1$ be a Q-module which is also a finite p-group. If A is cohomologically trivial, then $\mathrm{C}_Q(A_K) = K$, for every $K \leq Q$.*

We need also to prove the following

Lemma 2.3. *Under the assumption of Theorem 1.1, set $A = \mathrm{Z}(N)$ and let S/N be a subgroup of exponent p of G/N. Then $A^p \leq A_{S/N}$, so that $\mathrm{C}_{S/N}(A^p) = S/N$.*

Proof. Let be $x \in S$ and $a \in A$. We have $x^p \in N$, hence

$$x^p = (x^p)^a = (x^a)^p = (x[x, a])^p.$$

As G is semi-abelian, we have $[x, a]^p = 1$. It follows that $(a^{-1}a[a, x])^p = [a, x]^p = 1$, and again since G is semi-abelian, we have

$$a^p = (a[a, x])^p = (a^x)^p = (a^p)^x.$$

This shows that A^p is centralized by every element of S/N. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume for a contradiction that $\hat{H}^n(G/N, A) = 0$ for some integer n, where A denotes $\mathrm{Z}(N)$. As G/N is not cyclic and different from the generalized quternion groups Q_{2^m}, there is in G/N a subgroup S/N of exponent p and order $\geq p^2$. It follows from Proposition 2.1, that $\hat{H}^n(S/N, A) = 0$, so A is a cohomologically trivial S/N-module. Let $K/N \leq S/N$ be a subgroup of order p. Proposition 2.1 implies that $\hat{H}^0(K/N, A) = 0$. We have $\hat{H}^0(K/N, A) = A_{K/N}/A^\tau = 0$, where A^τ is the image of A under the trace homomorphism $\tau : A \to A$ induced by K/N. As K/N is cyclic of order p, our trace map is given by

$$a^\tau = aa^x \dots a^{x^{p-1}} \text{ for } a \in A, \text{ and any fixed } x \in K - N$$

from which it follows

$$a^\tau = (ax^{-1})^p x^p.$$

Now as G is semi-abelian, $a \in \ker \tau$ if, and only if $a^p = 1$; that is $\ker \tau = \Omega_1(A)$. This implies that $|A^\tau| = |A^p|$. As $A_{K/N} = A^\tau$, and $A^p \leq A_{K/N}$ by Lemma 2.3, we have $A^p = A_{K/N}$. By Proposition 2.2, $\mathrm{C}_{S/N}(A^p) = \mathrm{C}_{S/N}(A_{K/N}) = K/N$, however Lemma 2.3 implies that $S/N = K/N$, a contradiction.

□

Before proving Theorem 1.3, we need the following reduction from [5].

Proposition 2.4. *Let G be a finite p-group such that $\mathrm{C}_G(\mathrm{Z}(\Phi(G)) \neq \Phi(G)$. Then G has a non inner automorphism of order p.*

Note that M. Ghoraishi improved Proposition 2.4 in [7], where he reduced the problem of Berkovich to the p-groups G satisfying $H \leq \mathrm{C}_G(H) = \Phi(G)$, where H is the inverse image of $\Omega_1(\mathrm{Z}(G/\mathrm{Z}(G)))$ in G. A family of examples which satisfy the condition $\mathrm{C}_G(\mathrm{Z}(\Phi(G)) = \Phi(G)$ and do not satisfy Ghoraishi's condition can be found in the same paper.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Assume for a contradiction that every automorphism of G of order p is inner. Let be $A = \mathrm{Z}(\Phi(G))$. By Proposition 2.4, we have $\mathrm{C}_G(A) = \Phi(G)$ and so $\mathrm{C}_G(\mathrm{C}_G(A)) = A$. If we prove that $\mathrm{Der}(G/\mathrm{C}_G(A), A) = \mathrm{Der}(G/\Phi(G), \mathrm{Z}(\Phi(G))$ has exponent p, then our first assumption together with Proposition 1.2 imply that $\hat{H}^1(G/\Phi(G), \mathrm{Z}(\Phi(G))) = 0$, which contradicts Theorem 1.1. So we need only to prove, for any derivation $\delta \in \mathrm{Der}(G, \mathrm{Z}(\Phi(G))$ which is trival on $\Phi(G)$, that $\delta(x)^p = 1,$ for all $x \in G$. Indeed

$$\delta(x^p) = \delta(x)\delta(x)^x \dots \delta(x)^{x^{p-1}} = (\delta(x)x^{-1})^p x^p$$

As δ is trivial on $\Phi(G)$, we have $\delta(x^p) = (\delta(x)x^{-1})^p x^p = 1$, and since G is semi-abelian it follows that $\delta(x)^p = 1$. □

3. Remarks on a particular class of semi-abelian p-groups

M. Y. Xu proved in [13], that any finite p-group G, p odd, which satisfies $\Omega_1(\gamma_{p-1}(G)) \leq \mathrm{Z}(G)$ is semi-abelian; and he asked if such a group must be power closed, that is every element of G^{p^n} is a p^n-th power.

A negative answer to this question will follow from the following important result of D. Bubboloni and G. Corsi Tani (see [4]).

Recall that a p-central group is a group in which every element of order p is central. D. Bubboloni and G. Corsi Tani used the term TH-group instead of p-central group, where TH-group refers to J. G. Thompson, who seems to be the first to observe the importance of p-central groups (see [10, Hilfssatz III.12.2]).

Theorem 3.1. *Let be d and n two positive integers, p an odd prime, and F the free groupe on d generators. Then $G_n = F/\lambda^p_{n+1}(F)$ is a p-central p-group. More precisely we have $\Omega_1(G_n) = \lambda^p_n(F)/\lambda^p_{n+1}(F)$.*

Corollary 3.2. *A finite p-group, p odd, which satisfies $\Omega_1(\gamma_{p-1}(G)) \leq \mathrm{Z}(G)$ needs not be necessarily power closed.*

Proof. Obviously a p-central p-group satisfies $\Omega_1(\gamma_{p-1}(G)) \leq \mathrm{Z}(G)$. Assume for a contradiction that the result is false. So the p-groups G_n are all power closed. Now every finite p-group is a quotient of some G_n (for appropriates n and d), and the property of being power closed is inherited by quotients. It follows that every finite p-group is power closed, which is a contradiction. □

Let us mention briefly another consequence of Theorem 3.1. It is largely believed that finite p-central p-groups are dual (in a sense) to powerful p-groups. Since the inverse limits of powerful p-groups have roughly a uniform structure (see [6, §3]), which qualifies them to play a central role in studying analytic pro-p groups (see [6]), it is natural to ask if there is a restriction on the structure of a *pro- p-central p-group*, that is an inverse limit of finite p-central p-groups.

Let F be the free group on a finite number of generators. As every normal subgroup of F of p-power index contains a subgroup $\lambda_n^p(F)$ for some n, it follows that

$$\widehat{F}_p \cong \varprojlim F/\lambda_n^p(F)$$

where $\widehat{F}_p$ is the pro-p completion of F. This shows that the free pro-p group $\widehat{F}_p$ is pro p-central, so there is no reasonable restriction on the structure of a (finitely generated) pro p-central p-group.

Acknowledgments

This work could not be completed without the help of Daniela Bubbolon, Urban Jezernik and Andrea Caranti. We are really grateful to them.

References

[1] A. Abdollahi, Cohomologically trivial modules over finite groups of prime power order, *J. Algebra* **342** (2011) 154-160

[2] A. Abdollahi, Powerful p-groups have noninner automorphisms of order p and some cohomology, *J. Algebra* **323** (2010) 779-789

[3] Y. Berkovich, Groups of prime power order, vol. 1, Walter de Gruyter, 2008.

[4] D. Bubboloni, G. Corsi Tani, p-groups with all the elements of order p in the center, *Algebra Colloq.* **11** (2004), 181-190.

[5] M. Deaconescu, G. Silberberg, Noninner automorphisms of order p of finite p-groups, *J. Algebra* **250** (2002) 283-287.

[6] J. Dixon, M. du Sautoy, A. Mann, D. Segal, Analytic pro-p Groups, second ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1999.

[7] M. S. Ghoraishi, On noninner automorphisms of finite nonabelian p-groups, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **89** (2014) 202-209.

[8] K.W. Gruenberg, Cohomological Topics in Group Theory, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 143, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.

[9] K. Hoechsmann, P. Roquette and H. Zassenhaus, A Cohomological Characterization of Finite Nilpotent Groups, *Arch. Math.* **19** (1968) 225-244.

[10] B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen. I. *Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Band 134. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.

[11] V.D. Mazurov and E. I. Khukhro, The Kourovka Notebook. Unsolved Problems in Group Theory. 18th Edition, Russian Academy of Sciences, Siberian Division, Insti- tute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, 2014.

[12] P. Schmid, A cohomological property of regular p-groups, *Math. Z.* **175** (1980) 13.

[13] M.Y. Xu, A class of semi-p-abelian p-groups (in Chinese), *Kexue Tongbao* **26** (1981), 453-456. English translation in *Kexue Tongbao* (English Ed.) **27** (1982), 142-146

[14] M.Y. Xu, The power structure of finite p-groups, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **36** (1987), no. 1, 1-10.

Department of Mathematics, University Kasdi Merbah Ouargla Ouargla, Algeria.
Email: benmoussageo@gmail.com
Email: yassine_guer@hotmail.fr